UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,556	10/17/2001	Arnold G. Slezak	P1535US01	6786
Fellers, Snider,	7590 02/24/201 et al	EXAMINER		
Bank One Towe	er	TUGBANG, ANTHONY D		
100 N. Broadway, Ste. 1700 Oklahoma City, OK 73102-8820			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/981,556	SLEZAK, ARNOLD G.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPETHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-33 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-20 and 25-33 is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,5-9,21-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examile The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complete any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b) objected to by the lee drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The applicant(s) amendment (filed on November 2, 2009) has been fully considered and made of record.

NOTE: The examiner suggests that in any subsequent amendment that is filed, a complete listing of **ALL** of the claims should be recited, including status identifiers, e.g. withdrawn, currently amended, etc. Otherwise the amendment will be marked as noncompliant (37 CFR 1.121).

Election/Restrictions

Claims 10 through 20 and 25 through 33 continue to stand as being withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the replies filed on May 31, 2005 and June 18, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5 through 9, and 21 through 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a

Application/Control Number: 09/981,556

Art Unit: 3729

way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In Claim 1, the recitation of "the prewritten discs placed around the motor hub with respect to each other so that the alignment axes amount the plurality of prewritten discs are angularly disposed symmetrically around the motor hub" (lines 6-9) is new matter. The specification and drawings, as originally filed, provide no written description of any alignment axes of the prewritten discs being angularly disposed *symmetrically* around the motor hub. The specification, as originally filed, does not even use the terms of "angularly disposed" or "symmetrically".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5 through 9 and 21 through 24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 1, it is unclear from the disclosure what is meant by the phrase of "a direction of an alignment axis...symmetrically around the motor hub" (lines 3-9).

A person having ordinary skill in the art would not understand what is claimed when the claims are read in light of the specification. The claims recite the prewritten discs have servo tracks that are "are offset in a direction of an alignment axis that is in the same angular direction for all of the plurality of prewritten discs" and the discs are placed "so that the alignment axes among the plurality of prewritten discs are angularly disposed symmetrically around" the motor hub.

Art Unit: 3729

The specification describes a process of locating an alignment mark on a prewritten disc and rotating and aligning the prewritten disc with a biasing force in a direction toward the center of the disc. The specification does not describe the applicant(s) invention in terms of an "alignment axis that is in the same angular direction for all of the plurality of prewritten discs" and "discs are placed so that the alignment axes among the plurality of prewritten discs are angularly disposed symmetrically around the motor hub". A person of ordinary skill in the art would not understand what *alignment axis* is being referred to. For example, angular directions for the discs can occur into and out of the page (of Figure 2). Therefore, it would be impossible to determine an alignment axis that would be the very same angular direction for all of the discs in a plane into and out of the page (of Figure 2). Accordingly, the claims terms are not sufficiently described in the specification and the meaning of the claims is undeterminable, subject to plural interpretations, and therefore indefinite.

NOTE: No art rejections have been applied to the claims since there are a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of claims. Therefore, it would not be proper to reject such claims on the basis of prior art. See MPEP 2173.06.

Conclusion

The applicant(s) amendment (**filed on November 2, 2009**) has necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3729

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:15 am - 4:45 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3729

/A. Dexter Tugbang/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

February 19, 2010